

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](#)

## Social Science & Medicine

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/socscimed](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/socscimed)

# Eliciting community preferences for complementary micro health insurance: A discrete choice experiment in rural Malawi



Gilbert Abotisem Abiiro <sup>a, b, \*</sup>, Aleksandra Torbica <sup>c</sup>, Kassim Kwalamasa <sup>d</sup>,  
Manuela De Allegri <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Public Health, Medical Faculty, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Department of Planning and Management, University for Development Studies, Wa, Ghana

<sup>c</sup> Centre for Research on Health and Social Care Management e CERGIS, Department of Policy Analysis and Public Management, Bocconi University, Italy

<sup>d</sup> Research for Equity and Community Health Trust (REACH Trust), Malawi

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 5 March 2014

Received in revised form

23 August 2014

Accepted 9 September 2014

Available online 16 September 2014

#### Keywords:

Malawi

Rural communities

Discrete choice experiment

Preferences

Micro health insurance

### ABSTRACT

There is a limited understanding of preferences for micro health insurance (MHI) as a strategy for moving towards universal health coverage. Using a discrete choice experiment (DCE), we explored community preferences for the attributes and attribute-levels of a prospective MHI scheme, aimed at filling health coverage gaps in Malawi. Through a qualitative study informed by a literature review, we identified six MHI attributes (and attribute-levels): unit of enrollment, management structure, health service benefit package, copayment levels, transportation coverage, and monthly premium per person. Qualitative data was collected from 12 focus group discussions and 8 interviews in August–September, 2012. We constructed a D-efficient design of eighteen choice-sets, each comprising two MHI choice alternatives and an opt-out. Using pictorial images, trained interviewers administered the DCE in March–May, 2013, to 814 household heads and/or their spouse(s) in two rural districts. We estimated preferences for attribute-levels and relative importance of attributes using conditional and nested logit models. The results showed that all attribute-levels except management by external NGO significantly influenced respondents' choice behavior ( $P < 0.05$ ). These included: enrollment as core nuclear family (odds ratio (OR)  $\frac{1}{4}$  1.1574), extended family (OR  $\frac{1}{4}$  1.1132), compared to individual; management by community committee (OR  $\frac{1}{4}$  0.9494) compared to local micro finance institution; comprehensive health service package (OR  $\frac{1}{4}$  1.4621), medium service package (OR  $\frac{1}{4}$  1.2761), compared to basic service package; no copayment (OR  $\frac{1}{4}$  1.1347), 25% copayment (OR  $\frac{1}{4}$  1.1090), compared to 50% copayment; coverage of all premium (OR  $\frac{1}{4}$  0.9994). The relative importance of attributes is ordered as: transport, health services transport (OR  $\frac{1}{4}$  1.5841), referral and emergency transport (OR  $\frac{1}{4}$  1.2610), compared to no transport; and benefits, enrollment unit, premium, copayment, and management. To maximize consumer utility and encourage community acceptance of MHI, potential MHI schemes should cover transport costs, offer a comprehensive benefit package, define the core family as the unit of enrollment, avoid high copayments, and be managed by a competent financial institution.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.