<u>Orígínal Research</u>

Nutrient Composition and in Vitro Digestibility of 3 Neglected and Underutilized Browse Species in the Dry Savanna Zone of Ghana

Terry Ansah

University for Development studies, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Animal Science, Tamale, GHANA

Present Address: Division of Animal Nutrition, Central Sheep and Wool Research Institute, Tonk, Avikanagar, Rajasthan, India

*Corresponding author: tansah@uds.edu.gh

Rec. Date:	Oct 18, 2017 10:18
Accept Date:	Jan 05, 2018 17:32
Published Online:	February 05, 2018
DOI	10.5455/ijlr.20171018101852

Abstract

The nutrient composition and in vitro gas production of 3 neglected and underutilized browse specie (NUS) in the dry savanna zone of Ghana were evaluated. The NUS were Detarium microcarpum, Piliostigma thonningii and Icacina oliviformis. Leaves and seeds of NUS were harvested from 15 randomly selected matured trees within native fields in Nyankpala in the Guinea savanna zone. The in vitro gas production was evaluated in a 3 x 2 x 2 factorial design. The factors were the 3 NUS, 2 fractions (seed and leaf) and 2 levels of Polyethylene glycol (PEG). With the exception of I. Oliviformis, the seed fraction of all NUS was found to be higher in CP than the leaf. The NDF was in the range of 463.3 and 663 g/kg with the seed fraction of D. microcarpum having the highest. The ADF concentration of the seed was relatively lower than that of the leaf fraction with the least reported in the seed of I. Oliviformis. There was a significant effect of NUS x Fraction x PEG interaction on the asymptote gas production. The in vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) and metabolizable energy (ME, MJ/Kg DM) were significantly affected by the Fraction x NUS interaction. The study revealed that the 3 NUS had nutritional potentials for use in ruminant feeds. The seed fraction of most of the NUS had higher CP and lower ADF than the leaf fraction. The ME reported for all the treatments was above the 4.7 MJ/Kg/d of growing lambs gaining 0-50 g/d.

Key words: Crude Protein, In vitro Digestibility, Leaves, Seeds, Neglected, Under Utilized Species

How to cite: Ansah, T. (2018). Nutrient Composition and In vitro Digestibility of Three Neglected and Underutilized Browse Species in the Dry Savanna Zone of Ghana. International Journal of Livestock Research, 8(3), 45-51. http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/ijlr.20171018101852





Introduction

Forage, remain the single most important feed resource for livestock particularly ruminants worldwide and in most communities Northern Ghana natural pasture forms a major feed source for ruminant livestock (Ansah *et al.*, 2014; Dynes *et al.*, 2003). Browse, the leaves and twigs of shrubs, woody vines, trees, cacti and other non-herbaceous vegetation play a very important role in the nutrition of ruminants during the dry season in most tropical countries (Ansah *et al.*, 2016; Allen *et al.*, 2010; Okoli *et al.*, 2003). They serve as a source of protein and carbohydrate with relatively less concentration of recalcitrant fiber and are often fed as protein supplement to ruminants grazing natural pasture (Ansah *et al.*, 2016).

Protein is a very important nutrient which is normally limited in most natural pastures in the dry season. The lack of degradable dietary protein could reduce overall dry matter digestibility by reducing the concentration of rumen ammonia nitrogen (McDonald *et al.*, 2011). Most browse plants have been reported to contain various concentrations of plant secondary metabolites which may interfere with microbial degradation of dietary protein (Getachew *et al.*, 2000; Hariadi and Santoso, 2010). In recent times, interest in the use of some minor crops or trees (browse plants) in sustainable livelihoods have increased. Most of these trees and crops have been classified as Neglected and underutilized species (NUS) because they are of less importance than staple crops and agricultural commodities in terms of global production and market value (IPGRI. 2002; Padulosi *et al.*, 2013). Many neglected and underutilized species are nutritionally rich and are adapted to low input agriculture. The existence of various neglected and underutilized species (NUS) in most protected parks in Africa could serve as a major source of feed supplement for ruminant.

The study was therefore conducted with aim of determining the nutrient composition and *in vitro* digestibility of three NUS (*Detarium microcarpum*, *Icacina oliviformis*, *Piliostigma thonningii*) found in the savanna zone of Ghana.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

The study was conducted in Nyankpala in the Guinea savanna zone of Ghana. It is located on latitude 9° 25′ 41″ N and longitude 0° 58′ 42″ W at an altitude of 183 m above sea level. It has one rainy season which begin in April, rising to a peak in August to September and ending in October or November. Rainfall averages 1060 mm per annum. Temperatures range from as low as 15°C in January when the weather is under the influence of the North Easterly (Harmattan) winds and as high as 42°C around the end of the dry season in March.



Harvesting, Processing Analysis of Nutrient and In vitro Digestibility of Plant Samples

Leaves and seeds of three browse plants were harvested from native fields within the environs of the Faculty of Agriculture of the University for Development Studies (UDS) in Nyankpala. Leaves and seeds were harvested from 15 randomly selected matured neglected and underutilized browse species (NUS). The samples were pooled and about 500 g sub-sampled. This was dried in a forced air oven (60° C) for 48 h and milled with a hammer mill through a 1 mm sieve screen. The chemical analysis and *in vitro* gas production was carried out in the Forage Evaluation Unit of the Agriculture Sub-Sector Improvement Project Laboratory.

The milled samples were analyzed for their CP, NDF, ADF and ash concentration. Approximately 2 g of the leaf and seed samples of each NUS was placed in porcelain crucibles and combusted in a furnace at a temperature of 600°C for 4 h to determine the ash concentration (AOAC 2000). The nitrogen (N) content was determined using the Kjeldahl method according to the method of AOAC (2000) and was multiplied by the factor 6.25 to obtain the CP. The method of Van Soest *et al.* (1991) was used in the determination of neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) concentration. The NDF was determined exclusive of residual ash with sodium sulfite and α - amylase whilst ADF was determined exclusive of residual ash using the Ankom²⁰⁰ fiber analyzer. The *in vitro* gas production technique of Theodorou *et al.* (1994) was adopted. Approximately 200 mg of oven dried samples from each treatment (leaf and seed) was weighed into 50 ml test tubes and incubated in McDougall's under anaerobic condition. The treatments were further divided in to two sub-groups with 4 g of PEG (MW 6000) added to one group whiles the other had no PEG. This experiment was conducted as 3x2x2 factorial in completely randomized design. The factors were the 3 NUS (*Detarium microcarpum, Icacina oliviformis, Piliostigma thonningii*), 2 fractions (leaf and seed) and 2 levels of PEG (+ or -).

The gas production was measured using a digital manometer at 3, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h. The gas measurements were then fitted to the exponential curve of Orskov and McDonald (1979) without an intercept using SigmaPlot 10th edition (Systat Software Inc. 2006). The degradation parameters (b and c) were derived from exponential model.

$$Y = b (1 - e^{-ct})$$

Where, Y = gas volume at time t (ml) b = asymptotic gas production (%) t = time (h) c = fractional rate of gas production (ml/h)

The digestible organic matter (DOM) was calculated using the equation

DOM (%) = 16.49 + 0.9042 GP + 0.0492 CP + 0.0387



ash by Menke and Steingass (1988) whilst the metabolizable energy was calculated using the equation

ME (MJ / kg DM) = 2.20 + 0.136 *GP + 0.057 *CP (according to Menke *et al.*, 1979).

Where,

GP= gas production (ml/200mg DM at 24 hour) CP= crude protein (g/kg DM)

Statistical Analysis

The three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) in completely randomized design (CRD) from Genstat 11th edition was used in analyzing the *in vitro* gas production parameters. Means were separated using Tukeys at 5%. The mean and standard deviation of the nutrient composition were computed.

Result and Discussion

The analyzed nutrient composition of the leaves and seeds of the NUS are shown in Table 1.

 Table 1: Mean (g/kg±SD) nutrient composition of leaf and seed fractions f neglected and underutilized species

NUS	Fraction	СР	NDF	ADF	Ash
Detarium microcarpum	Leaf	89.3±0.9	629.8±4.8	567.2±7.2	$0.7{\pm}0.0$
	Seed	108.6±2.6	663.7±9.0	157.7±3.8	0.6 ± 0.1
Piliostigma thonningii	Leaf	98.5±2.2	463.3±5.0	546.1±5.7	1.3±0.1
	Seed	222.4±0.9	558.5±0.8	233.9±5.6	$0.7{\pm}0.1$
Icacina oliviformis	Leaf	148.3±0.4	590.0±10.0	416.9±5.2	0.3±0.0
	Seed	85.8±7.8	555.6±2.6	39.1±7.5	1.2 ± 0.0

NUS: Neglected and underutilized species; *CP:* Crude protein; *NDF:* Neutral detergent fiber; *ADF:* Acid detergent fiber

The CP concentration was higher in the seeds than the leaves of *D. microcarpum* and *P. thonningii* whereas the reverse was observed in *I. oliviofrmis*. The higher CP in seeds than leaves in two of the browse plants agrees with the reports of Martin *et al.* (2016) who found high CP in the seeds of *Moringa oleifera* than leaves. The high CP in seeds relative to leaf has been attributed to the accumulation of different enzymes and structural protein (Yang *et al.*, 2013). The relatively lower CP concentration in the seeds of *I. oliviofrmis* conforms with the findings of Kay (1987) who reported a CP of 8% but differ from Fay, (1991) who reported a CP of 14%. The relatively lower CP in seeds than leaves in *I. oliviofrmis* in the present study requires further investigation.

The CP concentration reported in all the plants and their fractions was within the minimum CP requirement (60-80 g/kg) for sustenance of microbial growth (Van Soest, 1982). This suggests that both fractions could be fed to ruminants as a source of protein. The NDF concentration was similar among the fractions of the NUS. However, the ADF showed some wide variation between the leaf and seeds. The



lowest ADF concentration (39.1 g/kg) was reported in the seeds fraction of *I. oliviformis*. Generally, the seeds had lower ADF concentration as compared to the leaf fraction. The high ADF fraction could be due to the increased cell wall formation in the vegetative parts such as leaves and stems of plants and is often lower in the seeds. The lower ADF in the seeds could enhance its voluntary feed intake in ruminants since it has been found to be negatively correlated with intake (Riaz *et al.*, 2014; Ansah *et al.*, 2016). The ash concertation ranged from 0.3 g/kg to 1.3 g/kg with the lowest reported in the leaf fraction of *I. oliviformis*. The *in vitro* gas production parameters are shown in Table 2.

Species	Fraction	PEG	b	с	IVOMD (%)	ME
Icacina oliviformis	Seed -	+	49.1 ^d	0.06	55.3	9.4
		-	50.0 ^d	0.06	54.2	9.3
	Leaf	+	28.8 ^{ab}	0.11	53.7	11.9
		-	33.1 ^{abcd}	0.10	51.3	11.7
Detarium microcarpum	Seed -	+	44.1 ^{bcd}	0.04	52.0	9.4
		-	50.3 ^d	0.06	56.4	9.2
	Leaf –	+	28.3 ^{ab}	0.20	45.7	8.5
		-	17.3 ^a	0.20	41.1	8.0
Piliostigma thonningii	Seed -	+	30.8 ^{abc}	0.12	54.1	15.9
		-	36.6 ^{bcd}	0.09	56.0	16.1
	Leaf –	+	48.2 ^{cd}	0.04	50.8	9.2
		-	29.3 ^{ab}	0.09	47.7	8.9
Seed			5.01	0.04	5.40	0.54
	NUS		0.107	0.099	0.206	0.001
P-value	Fraction		0.001	0.007	0.007	0.001
	PEG		0.310	0.839	0.711	0.711
	Fraction x N	JS	0.001	0.002	0.289	0.001
	NUS x PEG		0.200	0.929	0.957	0.957
	Fraction x PEG		0.003	0.605	0.256	0.256
	NUS x Fraction x PEG		0.022	0.520	0.772	0.772

Table 2: Mean *In vitro* gas production parameters of leaf and seeds of neglected and underutilized species incubated with or without polyethylene glycol (PEG)

NUS=Neglected and underutilized Species; +=Polyethylene glycol added, -=No polyethylene glycol added, sed=standard error of difference, b=Asymptote gas production, c= Rate of gas production, IVOMD= In vitro organic matter digestibility, ME=Metabolizable energy (MJ/kg)

The asymptote gas production was significantly affected by the 3-way interaction of NUS x fraction x PEG. The highest (P=0.022) gas production was reported in the seed fraction of *I. oliviformis* and *D. microcarpum* without PEG whilst the lowest was reported in the leaf fraction of *D. microcarpum* without PEG. The higher gas production in *I. oliviformis* seed is an indication that carbohydrate fermentation of the seeds was not limited by the relatively lower concentration of CP. Rumen microbes fermenting carbohydrates requires ammonia nitrogen and peptides for the synthesis of their cell membranes and this



is often derived from dietary protein degradation (McDonald *et al.*, 2011). The rate of gas production, IVOMD and ME were not affected by the interaction of NUS x fraction x PEG. The highest (P= 0.772) IVOMD was found in the seed fraction of *D. microcarpum* without PEG. The overall IVOMD was above 40%. The main effect of the PEG was found not to be significant suggesting that the concentrations of plant secondary metabolites such as condensed tannins did not affect the IVOMD. The ME for all the fractions was above the 4.7 MJ/kg/d required for growing lambs gaining 0-50 g/d (McDonald *et al.*, 2011).

Conclusion and Recommendation

The study revealed that the NUS investigated had potentials to be incorporated in the diet of ruminants. The seed fraction emerged as the most promising ingredient; however, considering that seeds are often used for propagation, its utilization as feed may be minimal. This can be complemented with the leaves.

Acknowledgement

Funding (J/5520-1) for the procurement of equipment and other cost of the research was provided by the International Foundation for Science (IFS), Sweden. The assistance provided by Messers Cudjoe Shedrack, Bright Tornu and Issah Ridwaan during the data collection in the laboratory is duly acknowledged.

References

- 1. Allen VG, Batello C, Berretta EJ, Hodgson J, Kothman M, Li X, Mclvor J, Milne J, Morris C, Peeters A. and Sanderson M. 2010. An international terminology for grazing lands and grazing animals. *Grass and forage science*, 66,2-28.
- Ansah T, Addah W. and Issaka AC. 2014. Feed resource availability for ruminants in the Kumbungu District of Ghana. Proceedings of the 32nd Biennnial Conference of the Ghana, Animal Science Association, Vol. 1. Pp. 54.
- 3. Ansah T, Algma HA and Dei HK. 2016. Variety and phosphate fertilizer dose effect on nutrient composition, in vitro digestibility and feeding value of cowpea haulm *Journal of Animal Science and Technology*, 58, 1.
- Ansah T, Wilkinson R, and Dei HK. 2016. Effects of tanniferous browse plant supplementation on the nutrient digestibility and growth of Djallonké rams. International Journal of Livestock Production, 7(12), 122-127.
- 5. Beuvink JMW and Spoelstra SF. 1992. Interactions between substrate, fermentation end products, buffering systems and gas production upon fermentation of different carbohydrates by mixed rumen microorganisms *in vitro*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 37, 505.
- 6. Blümmel M. and Ørskov ER. 1993. Comparison of gas production and nylon bag degradability of roughages in predicting feed intake in cattle. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 40, 109.
- 7. Dynes, R. A., Henry, D. A. and Masters, D. G. (2003). Characterizing Forages for Ruminant Feeding. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 16, 1 : 116-123.



- 8. Fay MJ. *1991. Icacina oliviformis* (icacinaceae): A close look at an underexploited food plant. II. Analyses of food products. Economic botany. 45; 1.
- 9. Getachew G, Makkar HPS. and Becker K 2000. Tannins in tropical browses: effects of tannins on in vitro microbial fermentation and microbial protein synthesis in medium containing different amounts of nitrogen. J. Agric. Food Chem. 48:3581-3588.
- 10.Hariadi BT and Santoso B 2010. Evaluation of tropical plants containing tannin on in vitro methanogenesis and fermentation parameters using rumen fluid. J. Sci. Food Agric. 90:456-461.
- 11.IPGRI. 2002. Neglected and Underutilized Plant Species: Strategic Action Plan of the International Plant Genetic Resources Institute. International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy.
- 12.Kay DE. 1987. Crop and Product Digest No. 2–Root Crops. Revised by Gooding, E.G.B. (2nd edn), London Tropical Development and Research Inst (1987), pp. 91-93.
- 13.Leng R A. 1986. Drought feeding strategies theory and practice. Peel Valley Printery, Tamworth. Pp. 5-13.
- 14.Martin AMM, Emilienne CN, Christian BB and Samuel RM. 2016 A comparison on the nutritional quality of proteins from *Moringa oleifera* leaves and seeds. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*. 2;1.
- 15.McDonald P, Edwards RA, Greenhalgh JFD, Morgan CA, Sinclair LA and Wilkinson RG. 2011. Animal Nutrition. Seventh Edition, Pearson, UK; pp 275.
- 16.Menke KH, Raab L, Salewski A, Steingass H, Fritz D and Schneider W. 1979. The estimation of the digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant feedstuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor in vitro. *J. Agric. Sci. Camb.* 92; 217–222.
- 17. Menke KH. and Steingass H. 1988. Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and in vitro gas production using rumen fluid. *Anim. Res. and develop.*, 28: 7-55.
- 18.Okoli I C, Ebere CS, Uchegbu MC, Udah CA and Ibeawuchi I I. 2003. Survey of diversity of plants utilized for feeding small ruminant feeding in Southeastern Nigeria. Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment, 96: 147 - 154.
- 19.Padulosi S, Thompson J, Rudebjer P. 2013. Fighting poverty, hunger and malnutrition with neglected and underutilized species (NUS): needs, challenges and the way forward. Biodiversity International, Rome.
- 20.Riaz MQ, Südekum KH, Clauss M, Jayanegara A. 2014. Voluntary feed intake and digestibility of four domestic ruminant species as influenced by dietary constituents: A meta-analysis. *Livest Sci.* 162:76–85.
- 21. Theodorou MK, Barbara AW, Dhanoa MS, McAllan AB. and France J. 1994. A simple gas production method using a pressure transducer to determine the fermentation kinetics of ruminant feeds. *Anim. Feed. Sci. Technol.* 48: 185-197.
- 22. Van Soest PJ 1982. Nutritional ecology of the ruminant. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- 23. Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J. Dairy Sci.* 1991; 74: 3583–97.
- 24. Yang Y, Dai L, Xia H, Zhu K, Liu H, Chen K. 2013. Protein profile of rice (*Oryza sativa*) seeds. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* 36, 87–92.



